

PRINCIPAL EDITORS

HARRY Y. (Hap) McSWEEN, University of Tennessee, USA (mcsween@utk.edu)
 JAMES I. DREVER, University of Wyoming, USA (drever@uwyo.edu)
 GEORGES CALAS, IMPMC, France (Georges.Calas@impmc.jussieu.fr)

ADVISORY BOARD 2011

JOHN BRODHOLT, University College London, UK
 NORBERT CLAUSER, CNRS/UdS, Université de Strasbourg, France
 WILL P. GATES, SmeTech Research Consulting, Australia
 GEORGE E. HARLOW, American Museum of Natural History, USA
 JANUSZ JANECZEK, University of Silesia, Poland
 HANS KEPPLER, Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Germany
 DAVID R. LENTZ, University of New Brunswick, Canada
 ANHUAI LU, Peking University, China
 ROBERT W. LUTH, University of Alberta, Canada
 DAVID W. MOGK, Montana State University, USA
 TAKASHI MURAKAMI, University of Tokyo, Japan
 ROBERTA OBERTI, CNR Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Pavia, Italy
 TERRY PLANK, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, USA
 XAVIER QUEROL, Spanish Research Council, Spain
 MAURO ROSI, University of Pisa, Italy
 BARBARA SHERWOOD LOLLAR, University of Toronto, Canada
 TORSTEN VENNEMANN, Université de Lausanne, Switzerland
 OLIVIER VIDAL, Université J. Fourier, France
 MEENAKSHI WADHWA, Arizona State University, USA
 BERNARD WOOD, University of Oxford, UK
 JON WOODHEAD, University of Melbourne, Australia

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

CARLOS AYORA IBÁÑEZ, Sociedad Española de Mineralogía
 LIANE G. BENNING, European Association of Geochemistry
 THOMAS D. BULLEN, International Association of Geochemistry
 PETER C. BURNS, Mineralogical Association of Canada
 GIUSEPPE CRUCIANI, Società Italiana di Mineralogia e Petrologia
 BARBARA L. DUTROW, Mineralogical Society of America, Chair
 W. CRAWFORD ELLIOTT, The Clay Minerals Society
 MONICA M. GRADY, The Meteoritical Society
 BERNARD GROBÉTY, Swiss Society of Mineralogy and Petrology
 GUY LIBOUREL, Société Française de Minéralogie et de Cristallographie
 MAREK MICHALIK, Mineralogical Society of Poland
 EDWIN A. SCHAUBLE, Geochemical Society
 CLIFFORD R. STANLEY, Association of Applied Geochemists
 PETER TRELOAR, Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland
 FRIEDHELM VON BLANCKENBURG, Deutsche Mineralogische Gesellschaft
 MICHAEL WIEDENBECK, International Association of Geoanalysts

MANAGING EDITOR

PIERRETTE TREMBLAY, tremblpi@ete.inrs.ca

EDITORIAL OFFICE



490, rue de la Couronne
 Québec (Québec) G1K 9A9, Canada
 Tel.: 418-654-2606 Fax: 418-653-0777

Layout: POULIOT GUAY GRAPHISTES
 Copy editor: THOMAS CLARK
 Proofreaders: THOMAS CLARK
 and DOLORES DURANT
 Printer: ALLEN PRESS

The publishers assume no responsibility for any statement of fact or opinion expressed in the published material. The appearance of advertising in this magazine does not constitute endorsement or approval of the quality or value of the products or of claims made for them.

www.elementsmagazine.org

WATER RESOURCES—SCIENCE AND VALUES



James I. (Tim) Drever

The theme of this issue raises many questions beyond the technical problem of providing more fresh water where it is needed, whether for agriculture or domestic use. The problem is clear: water supplies, particularly groundwater supplies, are diminishing as a result of pollution and depletion of aquifers by extracting more water than the natural recharge. In many instances, the current rate of recharge is essentially zero and we are extracting “fossil” water that was recharged under previous more humid climatic regimes. At the same time, the human population is expanding, its diet is changing in a way that demands more water for agriculture, and climate change adds a level of uncertainty: current predictions include increased aridity in the subtropics.

How can we address the problem? The nature of the problem, and thus its solution, varies greatly from region to region. Overall, the United States has a surplus of water and the economic resources to build systems, notably in California, to transport water long distances. Although this may “solve” the problem of water shortage in the drier areas, the environmental impacts in the contributing areas can be severe. Modern sensitivities to environmental issues, particularly endangered species, are placing limits on such diversions. These constraints and high costs make it unlikely that we will see more grand-scale projects like those in southern California. Also, each U.S. state jealously guards “its” water and fights transfers to any other state. The situation is very different in subtropical Africa, where there are few nearby sources of surplus water and limited economic resources. In Western Europe, by contrast, the problem is not so much water shortage as pollution, particularly by nitrate from agriculture. The solution here is likely to be the modification of agricultural practices and water treatment where necessary. Some progress is being made in both areas.

Vaux (in this issue) stresses the importance of establishing markets for water so that it is allocated towards the most beneficial (highest-value) use. It is hard to argue with this in principle, but it does raise some philosophical questions: how does one assign a value (ultimately a monetary value) to a commodity that is essential for human survival? We could draw an analogy to food: food is also essential for human survival, and few would disagree that an agricultural system based on private

producers and a free market (well, reasonably free) is more efficient and productive than one based on central planning. But food and water are not the same. Food can be transported long distances with existing infrastructure at relatively modest cost, whereas water, generally speaking, cannot. The authors of this issue argue that the cost of desalination is going down and that desalination may be an economically viable source of fresh water. This may be true for places like California and the oil-rich countries of the Middle East, but I have my doubts about the poorer countries of the world. And desalination requires energy, which has implications for greenhouse gases and potential costs in the future.

The question of assigning a monetary value to water is complex. In the United States it does not matter much if water is diverted from agriculture to golf courses because golfers are willing and able to pay more. But how does one assign a monetary value to maintaining in-stream flow? Or to sport fishing and recreation? I have listened to economists giving talks on these subjects but I come away with the feeling that ultimately these are issues of personal values. They are best decided by elected governments, who are, realistically, the only people in a position to make such judgments. We may see their judgments as unwise or shortsighted: in such cases we, as professionals, have an obligation

to try to educate the public and the politicians, but we must recognize that many problems are a matter of values rather than a matter of science.

The situation is very different in subtropical regions, where subsistence agriculture represents a major use of water and where, as discussed in this issue, supplies are decreasing even as the population is increasing. A poor person in a developing country is not in a position to pay much for water, and the product of his subsistence agriculture may, according to standard economic analysis, have little commercial value. From a narrowly economic perspective (which tends to discount the future in favor of the present), the best use of the water is likely to be commercial farming for the export market, but this would have the effect of eliminating some traditional ways of life and driving people to the cities and to emigration. We need to take a broader view of economic value: the disruption of traditional agriculture may have all sorts of societal costs.

There is no easy solution to this problem. The most obvious conclusion is that we need to manage existing supplies efficiently to minimize aquifer drawdown and to minimize the salination that often accompanies diversions for irrigation. Decisions in the political arena need to be based on a sound understanding of the consequences, particularly the long-term consequences, of alternative strategies for the utilization of ground and surface waters. As Earth scientists we have much to contribute.

James I. Drever, University of Wyoming
 (drever@uwyo.edu)

... We must recognize that many issues are a matter of values rather than matters of science.